March 29, 2004

United States District Court

Worcester, Ma

RE: Complaint Served

To: James Normandin on 3-10-04

Direct TV VS James Normandin

IN CLERKS OFFICE

P Case # 03-4027011116 30 P 1: 37

IS DISTRICT COUNT DISTRICT OF THE SE

I James Normandin, have read the complete Discovery of the above case from Direct TV that was served to me in hand on March 10, 2004.

I deny all of the charges stated on the discovery form. I am a Direct TV subscriber from 12-01-02 with an average monthly bill of \$100.00. I believe in the Justice system of the United States and that justice will prevail. Also I am stating at this time in regards to this case I do not waive may rights to a jury trial.

James Normandin

Bowen Law Office 116 Prichard Street Fitchburg Massachusetts 01420 978-345-4345 fax 978-343-7404

March 23, 2004

Ed:

Jim Normandin is going to call you.

Here is the complaint that was served on him about 10 days ago, and his receipt for equipment he bought from Percy's in Worcester after the complaint says he bought the equipment from the pirate.

Thanks for seeing him on short notice. Have fun.

Apl

PUTY SHERIFF

►AO 440 (Rev. 10/93) Summons in a Ci vil Action

Uì	VITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS OFFICE
	District of MASSACHUSETTSOUN NO. 28 A 9:01
DIRECTV, Inc.	A THUE COPY ATTEST U.S. DISTRICT COURT SUMMONSTINFA CHALL CASE
V. James Normandin	DEDUTY SHERIFF
	CASE NUMBER 4 0 2 7 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	A TRUE COPY ATTEST

TO: (Name and address of Defendant)

James Normandin 54 Oliver Street Fitchburg, MA 01420

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

John M. McLaughlin (BBO.: 556328) MCLAUGHLIN SACKS, LLC 31 Trumbull Road Northampton, MA 01060

an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within twenty (20) __days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You must also file your answer with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

TONY ANASIM	12-2-03	
CLERKO	DATE	•

() MM) MO Attects

589 J.C. leven AO 440 (Rev. 10/93) Summons in a Civil Action

		r IL E. W	
U	nited <mark>States Distri</mark>	ICTHOURNS OFFICE	
	District of MASSACHUS	ETT 5004 NO 28 A 9 01	
	ATRUECO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
DIRECTV, Inc.	THY YTY ATTEST	u.s. district court summonstinfancivil case	
V.	DEPUTY SHERIFF	,	
James Normandin	/		
	/ CASE NUM	-40270 TAGE	
	US	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	
		A TRUE COPY ATTES	ST

DEDUTY SHERIFF

TO: (Name and address of Defordant)

James Normandin 54 Oliver Street Fitchburg, MA 01420

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

John M. McLaughlin (BBO.: 556328) MCLAUGHLIN SACKS, LLC 31 Trumbull Road Northampton, MA 01060

an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within twenty (20) __days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You must also file your answer with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

TONY ANAS : No	12-2-03
CLERK	DATE

Many John A True Copy Attect:

589 [::C.lung JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET



The JS-44 civil cover sheet and information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use by law, except as provided by local rules of civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

of the Clerk of Court for the	purpose of initiating the o	civil docket sheet. (\$	SEE INS		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	FILED	
DIRECTV, Inc.				James Normandio	FILED CLERKS OFFIC	E
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE (EXCER	DEFIRST LISTED PLAINTIFE _ PT IN U.S. PLAINTIEF GAS	Los Angeles		NOTE: IN LAND COND	INDV 28 A 9- FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT P- IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE EMILITARY CONTROL STRICT OF MASS	THE LOCATION OF THE
(C) ATTORNEYS (SIRM NAME AN	DORESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBE			ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
John M. McLaughlin (B MCLAUGHLIN SACKS Northampton, MA 0106	BO.: 556328) LLC, 31 Trumbuli Ro.					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (PLACE AN	(* IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PRINC or Diversity Cases Only)	IPAL PARTIES (PLACE AND ONE	AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF BOX FOR DEFENDANT)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Governme	int Not a Party)		tizen of This State		PTF DEF r Principal Place 4 4 In This State
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity		Çi	itizen of Another State	2 ! Incorporated a of Business	and Principal Place 3 5 In Another State
Defendant	(Indicate Citizen in Item III)	ship of Parties	С	itizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	; ; Foreign Natio	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN C	NE BOX ONLY)				
CONTRACT		RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATDIES
10 Insurance 20 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted 152 Recovery of Overpayment 153 Recovery of Overpayment 154 Veterant Benefits 156 Stockholders Suits 150 Other Contract 155 Contract Product Liability 156 REAL PROPERTY	PERSONAL INJURY 110 Airplane 115 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 150 Motor Vehicle 155 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury- Med. Majoractice 65 Personal Injury- Product Liability 168 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 170 Other Fraud 171 Truth In Lending 180 Other Personal Property Damage 185 Property Damage		10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure ot Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Lews 40 R.R. & Truck 150 Artine Regs. 160 Occupational Safety/Health 190 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt Relations	122 Appeal 26 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 26 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 320 Copyrights 330 Patent 140 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 761 HIA (1395ff) 162 Black Lung (923) 1 63 DWC/DWW(405(g)) 164 SSID Title XVI 165 RSI (405(g))	400 State Reapportionment 110 Antitrust 130 Banks and Banking 150 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 150 Deportation 170 Recketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 910 Selective Service 350 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 175 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 181 Agricultural Acts 192 Economic Stabilization Act 1933 Environmental Matters 194 Energy Allocation Act 195 Freedom of Information Act
10 Land Condemnation 20 Foreclosure 30 Rent Lease & Ejectment 40 Torts to Land 45 Tort Product Liability 290 All other Real Property	441 Voting 442 Employment 143 Housings Accommodations (44 Weltare 440 Other Civil Rights	510 Motions to Vac Sentence HABEAS CORPUS 530 General 335 Death Penalty 340 Mandamus & O 350 Civil Rights 355 Prison Condition	ther	730 Lebor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Reilway Lebor Act '90 Other Lebor Litigation 791 Empl Rel Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7809	300 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes \$890 Other Statutory Actions
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(PLACE AN anded from allate Court	Reinsta Reopen		listrict Multidist	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION 47. USC 605 and 18 Usunauthorized interception	SC 2510-2521, unauth					istance in said What
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACT	ION	DEMAND \$ \$10,000.00	JURY DEMAND	rif demand in Complaint
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S)(See instructions):	UDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF	ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT #	_ AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG.	JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

DIRECTV, Inc., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

JAMES NORMANDIN

Defendant.

Case No.

Filed 03/30/2004

COMPLAINT FOR COMPENSATORY, STATUTORY AND OTHER DAMAGES, AND FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiff, DIRECTV, Inc., through its attorneys, alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION:

DIRECTV AND THE SATELLITE TELEVISION BROADCASTING BUSINESS

- 1. Plaintiff, DIRECTV, a California company, operates the United States' premier digital satellite entertainment service, delivering over 225 channels of digital entertainment and informational programming to homes and businesses equipped with specialized digital satellite system equipment. DIRECTV has invested more than \$1.25 billion to develop its direct broadcast satellite system.
- 2. DIRECTV delivers television programming to millions of subscribers in the United States. In order to receive and view DIRECTV's satellite signal, each subscriber must be equipped with digital satellite system hardware, which consists of a

Filed 03/30/2004

satellite dish, an integrated receiver/decoder ("IRD") and an access card that is necessary to operate the IRD. Through this technology, DIRECTV offers programming including major cable networks, studio movies and special events offered on a pay-per-view basis, local network channels in select areas, and a variety of other sports and special interest programs and packages, some of which DIRECTV has the exclusive right to broadcast via satellite.

- DIRECTV does not manufacture digital satellite system hardware. 3. DIRECTV sells programming, most of which it purchases from program providers such as cable networks, motion picture distributors, sports leagues, event promoters, and other programming copyright holders. DIRECTV contracts and pays for the right to distribute the programming to its subscribers, and holds exclusive satellite distribution rights in DIRECTV also creates its own original content certain of the programming. programming, for which DIRECTV owns the copyright.
- DIRECTV provides different levels of programming to its customers 4. based on the particular subscription package that DIRECTV subscribers purchase. DIRECTV encrypts its satellite transmissions and employs conditional access technology to prevent unauthorized access to its television programming by non-subscribers. The conditional access technology relies in part on "access cards" that are provided to consumers as components of the digital satellite system equipment and which, upon activation by DIRECTV, decrypt DIRECTV's programming and permit the consumer to access and view it. The software code contained in the access cards protects DIRECTV's programming against unauthorized access.

Filed 03/30/2004

- 5. Each DIRECTV customer is required to obtain a DIRECTV access card and other system hardware (including a small satellite dish) and create an account with DIRECTV. Upon activation of the access card by DIRECTV, the customer can receive and view in a decrypted format (i.e., unscrambled) those channels to which the customer has subscribed or otherwise made arrangement to purchase from DIRECTV.
- 6. Consumers who have purchased digital satellite system equipment can subscribe to various packages of DIRECTV programming, for which the subscriber pays a periodic fee, usually monthly. Subscribers can also order pay-per-view events and movies either by using an on-screen menu and a hand-held remote control device, or by calling DIRECTV and ordering the program over the telephone.

DIRECTV'S SECURITY SYSTEM

- 7. All programming distributed by DIRECTV is delivered to one or both of DIRECTV's broadcast centers in Castle Rock, Colorado, and Los Angeles, California. At the broadcast centers, DIRECTV digitizes and compresses the programming, and encrypts the signal that is sent to its subscribers to prevent receipt of the programming without authorization. DIRECTV then transmits the encrypted signal to multiple satellites located in orbit approximately 22,300 miles above the earth.
- 8. The satellites relay the encrypted signal back to Earth, where it can be received by DIRECTV's subscribers equipped with digital satellite system dishes and IRDs. The satellite receiving dishes can be mounted on a rooftop, windowsill or deck railing at the subscriber's home or business. The signal is received by the dish and transmitted by wire to the IRD. The IRD (boxes that are approximately the size of a

VCR player) acts like a computer which processes the incoming signal using the credit card sized access card.

Filed 03/30/2004

- After a customer installs the dish, IRD, and access card at his or her home 9. or business, the access card blocks access to DIRECTV programming until the customer purchases one or more programming packages from DIRECTV. When the customer subscribes to a package, DIRECTV electronically activates the subscriber's access card in accordance with that subscription. The access card then acts as a reprogrammable microprocessor and uses "smart card" technology to (a) control which DIRECTV programming the subscriber is permitted to view, and (b) capture and transmit to DIRECTV the subscriber's impulse pay-per-view information.
- Because DIRECTV generates its revenues through sales of subscription 10. packages, it must be able to condition access to programming on the purchase of legitimate subscriptions. Accordingly, DIRECTV devotes substantial resources to the continued development and improvement of its conditional access system.
- DIRECTV's need to develop increasingly sophisticated security measures 11. is driven by the actions of satellite television "pirates." Satellite pirates endeavor to circumvent DIRECTV's security measures to gain unlimited access to all DIRECTV programming, including pay-per-view events, without paying a fee. Because the access cards are the primary security mechanism relied on by DIRECTV, the modification of access cards using various hardware and software devices designed to disable the access cards' security is the primary focus of satellite piracy.
- As part of its ongoing effort to prevent piracy, DIRECTV periodically 12. updates its access cards to improve both functionality and security controls. DIRECTV's

most recent generation of access cards are commonly referred to as "P4" cards. Prior generations of access cards are commonly known as "H", "P2", "HU" or "P3" cards.

- As part of its efforts to combat piracy, DIRECTV periodically develops 13. and administers electronic countermeasures, which are commonly referred to in the satellite piracy community as "ECMs." ECMs involve sending a stream of data that targets access cards using known modified software code and disables those access cards.
- In response to DIRECTV's ECMs, and in particular to a highly successful 14. ECM known in the pirate community as "Black Sunday," satellite pirates have developed devices referred to as, among other things, bootloaders, dead processor boot boards, glitchers, HU loaders, emulators, and unloopers, that employ hardware and software in combination to restore pirate access cards' ability to illegally circumvent DIRECTV's encryption protection and view DIRECTV programming.
- DIRECTV's ability to attract and retain subscriber revenues and goodwill, 15. and distribution rights for copyrighted programming, is dependent upon maintaining and securing the integrity of its programming, technology and products, including the access cards and copyrighted programming, and in prohibiting unauthorized reception and use of its protected communications.

PARTIES

On or about December 1, 2001, DIRECTV executd Writs of 16. Seizure, with the assistance of the United States Marshals Service, upon The Computer Shanty, an Internet seller of Pirate Access Devices. Shanty's business enterprise focused on distributing satellite signal theft devices, primarily designed for the surreptitious interception of satellite communications broadcast by DIRECTV, through the website Shanty.com.

The raid revealed information related to Shanty and its customers. DIRECTV obtained various business records evidencing the ongoing illegitimate enterprise, including orders, invoices, electronic communications, shipping documentation, purchase receipts, credit card receipts and customer lists. Each record confirmed the existence of a distribution source for the country-wide transmission of devices primarily designed for the unauthorized interception of DIRECTV's Satellite Programming.

Shanty's customers ordinarily placed orders over a website operated by Shanty, aptly named Shanty.com. Pertinently, the business records obtained in the raid evidence each Defendant's purchases of Pirate Access Devices from Shanty, and in reliance on those records and other information, and upon information and belief, DIRECTV brings this lawsuit against each named Defendant for the purchase, possession, importing, modification, manufacture, assembly and/or use of Pirate Access Devices.

Each Defendant's activities violate federal telecommunication and wiretapping laws and state statutory and common law. As a result of each Defendant's decisions to obtain one or more Pirate Access Devices and the detrimental impact that such activities have on the company, DIRECTV brings this action seeking damages and injunctive relief against each Defendant's continued possession and/or use of Pirate Access Devices.

17. Plaintiff, DIRECTV, Inc., is a corporation duly incorporated under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 2230 East Imperial Highway, El Segundo, California. DIRECTV has significant interests in maintaining and

securing the integrity of its satellite transmissions of television programming, and in prohibiting the unauthorized reception and use of the same.

Document 8

- Defendant is currently a resident of this District and/or was a resident of 18. this District when this cause of action arose. DIRECTV alleges that Defendant has purchased and used illegally modified DIRECTV Access Cards and other devices ("Pirate Access Devices") that are designed to permit viewing of DIRECTV's television programming without authorization by or payment to DIRECTV.
- Defendant, JAMES NORMANDIN ("NORMANDIN"), is a resident of 19. Fitchburg, MA. Upon information and belief, NORMANDIN purchased one or more Pirate Access Devices from one or more pirate device dealers as described below. NORMANDIN placed each order by using interstate or foreign wire facilities, and received his orders via the United States Postal Service or commercial mail carriers. Specifically, these illegal purchases included the following transactions:
- On or about May 7, 2001, NORMANDIN purchased one (1) Boot Loader a. Green from Shanty. The order was shipped to NORMANDIN's address in Fitchburg, MA.
- On or about the dates referenced above, the Defendant actually did purchase and 20. thereafter receive certain electronic devices.
- Defendant manufactured, assembled, distributed, and/or sold one or more Pirate 21. Access Devices, knowing or having reason to know that the design of such devices render them primarily useful for the purpose of surreptitious interception of DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming,

and knowing or having reason to know that such devices, or any components thereof, had been sent through the mail or transported in interstate or foreign commerce. Furthermore, Defendant used the Pirate Access Device(s) to intentionally intercept, endeavor to intercept, or procure others to intercept DIRECTV's electronic communications withou t authorization.

- At all times material hereto, Defendant possessed the requisite digital system hardware ("DSS Hardware"), including satellite dish, integrated receiver/decoder ("IRD"), and/or programming, required to use the illegally modified DIRECTV access card, through which Defendant actually and intentionally intercepted DIRECTV's encrypted satellite transmissions of television programming without authorization or payment to DIRECTV in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605 and 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521.
- 23. Defendant's actions violate the Federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 605; and the Electronic Communications Privacy Act ("Federal Wiretap Laws"), 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. DIRECTV brings this action to restrain these illegal activities against it.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

This action arises under the Federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended,
 U.S.C. § 605; and the Electronic Communications Privacy Act ("Federal Wiretap Laws"), 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521.

This Court has original federal question jurisdiction and supplemental jurisdiction 25. pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(a), and the Wiretap Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2520(a).

VENUE

26. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because the Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District by virtue of Defendant's residence in the District. Additionally, venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

UNAUTHORIZED RECEPTION OF SATELLITE SIGNALS IN VIOLATION 47 U.S.C. § 605(a)

- 27. Plaintiff DIRECTV repeats and re-alleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 26 as if set forth fully herein.
- 28. Defendant has received DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming without authorization, in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605(a).
- 29. Defendant's violations have injured and will continue to injure DIRECTV by depriving DIRECTV of subscription and pay-per-view revenues and other valuable consideration, compromising DIRECTV's security and accounting systems, infringing DIRECTV's trade secrets and proprietary information, and interfering with DIRECTV's contractual and prospective business relations.
- 30. Defendant knew or should have known that receiving DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming without authorization by or payment to DIRECTV was and is illegal and prohibited. Such violations have caused and will continue to cause DIRECTV irreparable harm, and DIRECTV has no

adequate remedy at law to redress any such continued violations. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendant will continue to violate 47 U.S.C. § 605(a).

COUNT II UNAUTHORIZED INTERCEPTION OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS **IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1)**

- 31. Plaintiff DIRECTV repeats and re-alleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if set forth fully herein.
- Civil causes of action for violation(s) of 18 U.S.C. § 2511 are expressly 32. authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 2520.
- By using Pirate Access Devices for purposes of decryption and viewing of 33. DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming, Defendant intentionally intercepted, endeavored to intercept, or procured other persons to intercept or endeavor to intercept DIRECTV's satellite transmission of television programming, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2511(1)(a).
- Defendant's violations have injured and will continue to injure DIRECTV by depriving DIRECTV of subscription and pay-per-view revenues and other valuable consideration, compromising DIRECTV's security and accounting systems, infringing DIRECTV's trade secrets and proprietary information, and interfering with DIRECTV's contractual and prospective business relations.
- Defendant knew or should have known that such interception of 35. DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming was and is illegal and Such violations have caused and will continue to cause DIRECTV prohibited. irreparable harm, and DIRECTV has no adequate remedy at law to redress any such

continued violations. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendant will continue to violate 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1)(a).

COUNT III

ASSISTING IN THE UNAUTHORIZED USE/INTERCEPTION SIGNALS IN **VIOLATION OF TITLE 47 U.S.C. § 605**

- 36. DIRECTV alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 35 above.
- 37. The Defendant distributed one or more Pirate Access Devices to third parties knowing or having reason to know that the Pirate Access Devices are or were primarily of assistance in the unauthorized interception or reception of the Plaintiff's satellite television programming in violation of Title 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(4) and Title 47 U.S.C. § 605(a).
- 38. DIRECTV is a person aggrieved by the Defendant's violations of the Title 47 U.S.C. § 605 and is authorized to bring this action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 605 (e)(3)(A).
- 39. The satellite transmissions that make up DIRECTV's signal include interstate radio communications that are protected by Title 47 U.S.C. § 605.
- 40. The Defendant distributed one or more Pirate Access Devices to third parties willfully and knowingly in violation of Title 47 U.S.C. § 605.
- 41. DIRECTV did not authorize or consent to the Defendant's distribution of Pirate Access Devices to third parties.
- 42. The Defendant's violations have injured DIRECTV's ability to generate revenue by depriving DIRECTV of payment for its programming.

COUNT IV

ASSEMBLY, MANUFACTURE AND/OR MODIFICATION OF DEVICES OR **EQUIPMENT IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 47 U.S.C. § 605**

- 43. DIRECTV alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 42 above.
- 44. The Defendant assembled, manufactured and/or modified existing equipment knowing or having reason to know that the device(s) thereby created were primarily of assistance in the unauthorized interception or reception of the Plaintiff's satellite television programming in violation of Title 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(4) and Title 47 U.S.C. § 605(a).



- 45. DIRECTV is a person aggrieved by the Defendant's violations of the Title 47 U.S.C. § 605 and is authorized to bring this action pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 605 (e)(3)(A).
- 46. The satellite transmissions that make up DIRECTV's signal include interstate radio communications that are protected by Title 47 U.S.C. § 605.
- 47. The Defendant assembled, manufactured or modified the Pirate Access Devices willfully and knowingly in violation of Title 47 U.S.C. § 605.
- 48. DIRECTV did not authorize or consent to the Defendant's assembly, manufacture or modification of the Pirate Access Devices.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff DIRECTV requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- (1) Find the Defendant's conduct in purchasing, possessing and using Pirate Access Devices violates 47 U.S.C. § 605(a) and (e)(4), and 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1)(a), and further find that Defendant's violations were willful, malicious or for a tortious or illegal purpose;
- (2) In accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(B)(i) and 18 U.S.C. § 2520(b)(1) enjoin and restrain Defendant, and persons controlled directly and indirectly

by Defendant, from importing, possessing, or using Pirate Access Devices, and further order Defendant to surrender all Pirate Access Devices;

- (3) In the event of a default, an award of statutory damages of \$10,000 for each Pirate Access Device purchased and used in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605(a), and a further award of DIRECTV's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$850;
- (4) In the event of trial, an award of either (a) statutory damages in accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II) and 18 U.S.C. § 2520(c)(2) or (b) compensatory and punitive damages in accordance with 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(I), and 18 U.S.C. § 2520(c)(2); and DIRECTV's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(B)(iii) and 18 U.S.C. § 2520(b)(3).
 - (5). Such additional relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Date

John-M. McLaughlin (BBO.: 556328)

MCLAUGHLIN SACKS, LLC

31 Trumbull Road

Northampton, MA 01060

(413) 586-0865

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

DIRECTV, Inc.) Case No.:
Plaintiff,	CORPORATE DISCLOSURE
vs.	· .
James Normandin	
Defendant	

Pursuant to LR, D. Mass 7.3 the corporate Plaintiff in the above referenced action, DIRECTV, Inc., now makes this disclosure:

- 1. DIRECTV, Inc. (a California Corp.) is a wholly owned subsidiary of DIRECTV Enterprises, LLC (a Delaware Limited Liability Company);
- 2. DIRECTV Enterprises is a wholly owned subsidiary of DIRECTV Holdings, LLC (a Delaware LLC);
- 3. DIRECTV Holdings, LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Hughes Electronic Corporation (a Delaware Corp.);
- 4. Hughes Electronic Corporation is a wholly owned subsidiary of General Motors Corporation (a Delaware Corp.);
- 5. General Motors Corporation (a Delaware Corp.) is a publicly-held corporation.

Respectfully Submitted for the Plaintiff, DIRECTV, Inc.
By Its Attorney,

Date

John M. McLaughlin (BBO: 556328)

McLaughlin Sacks, LLC

31 Trumbull Road

Northampton, MA 01060

(413) 586-0865

TELEPHONE NO. _____(413) 586-0865

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	. TITLE OF CASE (NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH SIDE ONLY) DIRECTV, Inc. vs. James Normandin									
2.	CATEGORY IN WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL COVER SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).									
	_	l.	160, 410, 470, R.23,	REGARDLESS OF	F NATURE OF SUIT.					
	<u>xx</u>	II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 4 740, 790, 791, 820*,	141 -444, 540, 550, 830*, 840*, 850, 8	555, 625, 710, 720, 7 90, 892-894, 895, 950	' 30,).		complete AO atent, tradema		
	_	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 1 315, 320, 330, 340, 3 380, 385, 450, 891.	151, 190, 210, 230, 345, 350, 355, 360,	240, 245, 290, 310, 362, 365, 370, 371,					
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 4 690, 810, 861-865, 8	460, 510, 530, 610, 70, 871, 875, 900.	620, 630, 640, 650, 6	660,				
	_	v.	150, 152, 153.							
3.	TITLE AN	ID NUMB EN FILED	ER, IF ANY, OF RELA IN THIS DISTRICT PL	TED CASES. (SE EASE INDICATE	E LOCAL RULE 40.1 THE TITLE AND NUM	I(G)). IF MBER OF	MORE THE F	THAN ONE PR IRST FILED C	RIOR RELA ASE IN THI	TED CASE IS COURT.
	<u>None</u>								. 50 W T W	c coupts
			TION BETWEEN THE			TES L	NO	6		
5.	DOES TH	IE COMPI	LAINT IN THIS CASE 17 (SEE 28 USC §2	QUESTION THE 0 403)	CONSTITUTIONALIT		ACT O	F CONGRESS	AFFECTIN	G THE
	IF SO, IS	THE U.S.	A. OR AN OFFICER,	AGENT OR EMPL	OYEE OF THE U.S. A	APARTY		×		
6	IS THIS (CASE REC	QUIRED TO BE HEAR	D AND DETERMI	NED BY A DISTRICT	COURT	OF TH	REE JUDGES	PURSUAN	T TO TITLE
28 USC §2284?						□ NO	×			
7.	DO ALL OF THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE									
	SAME DI	VISION?	- (SEE LOCAL RULE	40.1(D)).		YES	⊠ NO			
		1.	IF YES, IN WHICH DIVEASTERN DIVISION	VISION DOALL OF 1	THE NON-GOVERNMEN CENTRAL DIVISION	NTAL PAR	TIES RE	ESIDE? WESTERN	I DIVISION	
		2.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIV GOVERNMENTAL AG	ISION DO THE MAJ ENCIES, RESIDING	ORITY OF THE PLAIN IN MASSACHUSETTS F	TIFFS OR RESIDE?	THE O	NLY PARTIES, E	EXCLUDING	
			EASTERN DIVISION		CENTRAL DIVISION			WESTERN	I DIVISION	
(Pl	EASE TYP	E OR PRIN	T)							
			John M. McLaughlin							
ΑD	ADDRESS MCLAUGHLIN SACKS, LLC, 31 Trumbull Rd., Northampton, MA 01060									

JAMES NORMANDIN **54 OLIVER ST FITCHBURG MA 01420**

Tames Normandin served to John Mc Laughlin representing Direct TV. the Answer to the complain by certify mail return receipt. Also enclose a copy of the return from office.

Normandin

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	A Signature Agent Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery C. Date of Delivery
1. Article Addressed to:	D. le delivery address different from item 1?
John Melaushlin	
31 Trumbil RO	3. Service Type
Worth hampton	Certified Meil
Cloud mass	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)
Article Number (Transfer from service label)	4 M06942US
PS Form 3811, August 2001 Domestic Re	eturn Receipt 102595-02-M-1540